

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/774,784 | 02/09/2004 | | Herbert Gerner | 298-220 | 9756 | |
| 28249 | 7590 | 07/11/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | RRESE, LLP | NORMAN, MARC E | | | |
| 333 EARLE OVINGTON BLVD. UNIONDALE, NY 11553 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | 3744 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 07/11/2006 | DATE MAILED: 07/11/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 00.0.444 | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| SUPPLEMENTAL | 10/774,784 | GERNER, HERBERT | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Marc E. Norman | 3744 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. | action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 3-11,21,22 and 24-26 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 8 and 11 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3-7,9,10,21,22 and 24-26 is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | n from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to example the correction of the objected to by the Example The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example The oath or declaration is objected. | epted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj | ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Notice of Informal Page 1 (a) Other: | | | | | |
| Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/774,784

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner's Comments

In view of Applicant's Request for Withdrawal of Finality and a telephone conversation with Applicant's Attorney (see attached interview summary), the Final Office Action mailed on 10 May 2006 is hereby made **NON-FINAL**.

For purposes of completeness, the rejections set forth therein are reiterated below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As presently written, claim 4 depends from cancelled claim 1. For purposes of prosecution on the merits below, it is assumed that Applicant intended claim 4 to depend from independent claim 3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/774,784 Page 3

Art Unit: 3744

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 3, 4, 7, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Toyoshima.

As per claims 3, 4, 7, and 10, Toyoshima discloses a refrigeration/freezer system with at least two refrigeration/freezer units (A, B1, B2), a common operating unit (a), a signal transmission component NT constituting the sole interconnection between the refrigeration/freezer units, operating unit (a) integrated into refrigeration/freezer unit A, each unit having a refrigerator and freezer unit (Figure 1), transmission cables (Figure 1), and the control units transferring refrigeration data.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,784 Page 4

Art Unit: 3744

Claims 5, 6, 9, and 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Toyoshima.

As per claim 5, Toyoshima does not specifically teach an ice maker. Official notice is

taken that these are common and obvious components of refrigeration/freezer units.

As per claim 6, Toyoshima does not teach a bus system, Official notice is taken that bus

systems are common, well-known, and obvious components of data transmission systems for the

purpose of interfacing multiple units.

As per claim 9, Toyoshima does not teach a wireless transmission component. Official

notice is taken that wireless telephone transmission lines are common and well-known, and

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine within the system of

Toyoshima for the purpose of connecting the various control devices.

As per claims 21 and 24-26, see rejections above of similar claims 10 and 4-6

respectively.

As per claim 22, Toyoshima teaches each refrigeration/freezer unit having its own control

unit (a, b1, b2).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8 and 11 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc E. Norman whose telephone number is 571-272-4812. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on 571-272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MN

MARC NORMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER